

## CASSON'S INVARIANT AND QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY

P. B. KRONHEIMER<sup>†</sup>, M. J. LARSEN<sup>‡</sup> and J. SCHERK<sup>§</sup>

(Received in revised form 11 October 1989)

It is a corollary of Casson's work on the  $\lambda$ -invariant, that if  $M$  is a homology 3-sphere and every irreducible, 2-dimensional unitary representation of  $\pi_1(M)$  is non-degenerate (i.e. has no infinitesimal deformations), then the number of such representations is even [1, 2]. Our main result shows that this property is not special to 3-manifold groups:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-presented, perfect group and suppose there are only finitely many inequivalent, non-trivial representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $SU(2)$ . Then the number of such representations is even.*

*Remark.* Since the space of representations is compact, one can replace the finiteness condition by the requirement that each representation  $\rho$  be isolated; but this is still weaker than Casson's condition, that  $\rho$  be non-degenerate. Curiously, if  $\Gamma$  is a 3-manifold group some of whose representations in  $SU(2)$  are isolated but *degenerate*, Casson's work implies a result which differs from Theorem 1 and asserts that the sum of certain multiplicities is even. The authors are not at present aware of a 3-manifold group for which these multiplicities are other than  $\pm 1$ .

Theorem 1 will be deduced from a central result in the theory of quaternion algebras, closely related to quadratic reciprocity, and attributable to Hilbert and Hasse [5, 4]. Let  $A$  be a quaternion algebra (a 4-dimensional, central simple algebra) over a global field  $k$ , and for each place  $v$  of  $k$ , let  $A_v = A \otimes_k k_v$  be the corresponding algebra over the local field  $k_v$ . Each  $A_v$  is either a division algebra (which is then uniquely determined up to isomorphism) or the matrix algebra  $M_2(k_v)$ . In the former case, one says that  $A$  is ramified at  $v$ , in the latter, that  $A$  is unramified. Hasse's form of Hilbert's reciprocity law asserts that the number of places at which ramification occurs is finite and even. Since there are no non-commutative division algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this reduces to a statement about the real and finite places of  $k$ .

Now let  $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow SU(2)$  be a non-trivial representation. With  $\sigma$  we associate the field  $k$  generated by the traces,

$$k = \mathbb{Q}(tr \circ \sigma(\gamma))_{\gamma \in \Gamma},$$

which we consider as an abstract field endowed with a canonical embedding  $\iota: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We write  $T$  for the trace-function  $tr \circ \sigma$  regarded as a map  $T: \Gamma \rightarrow k$ , being careful to distinguish it from the composite  $\iota \circ T$ . In this situation there is a naturally given  $k$ -algebra, namely the  $\iota(k)$ -linear span of the matrices  $\sigma(\gamma)$  in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Rather than take this as a definition however,

<sup>†</sup>Supported by N.S.F. Grant No. DMS-860730.<sup>‡</sup>Supported by N.S.F. Grant No. DMS-860730 and DMS-8807203.

we give a construction (cf. [3]) for an isomorphic algebra  $A$  which depends only on  $T$  and not otherwise on  $\sigma$ . Consider the group algebra  $R = k[\Gamma]$  and the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\hat{\sigma}: R \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

which extends  $\sigma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is perfect, the image of  $\hat{\sigma}$  is non-abelian, and its complex-linear span is therefore all of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\text{tr}(ab)$  is a non-degenerate form on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , it now follows that the kernel of  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the two-sided ideal

$$I = \{r \in R \mid T(r_1 r r_2) = 0 \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in R\}.$$

(Here we have extended  $T$  linearly as a map  $R \rightarrow k$ .) We define

$$A = A_T = R/I.$$

The construction makes clear that  $A$  is isomorphic to the image of  $\hat{\sigma}$ , and also shows that  $A_{\mathbb{R}} = A \otimes_{k, \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear span of  $\sigma(\Gamma)$ , which is  $\mathbb{H}$ , the Hamiltonian quaternions. From this it follows that  $A$  is a (non-trivial) quaternion algebra.

**PROPOSITION 1.**  *$k$  is a number field.*

*Proof.* Because  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated,  $k$  is a finitely-generated extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ : indeed, it is a subfield of the larger field generated by the matrix elements of all the  $\sigma(\gamma)$ , which in turn is generated by the matrix elements of any set of generators. It remains to show that  $\text{tr}(\sigma(\gamma))$  is algebraic. Since  $SU(2)$  may be regarded as the set of real points of an algebraic group  $G$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the homomorphisms  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SU(2)$  comprise the real points,  $X(\mathbb{R})$ , for a variety  $X = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ , also defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Because of our hypothesis that the representations fall into finitely many conjugacy classes, the proposition will follow from the next lemma, applied to the function  $f: X(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(\rho) = \text{tr}(\rho(\gamma))$ .

**LEMMA.** *Let  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  be an algebraic variety, and  $f$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational function on  $X$ . If  $x_0 \in X(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x_0) \notin \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then there exists a continuous curve on  $X(\mathbb{R})$ , passing through  $x_0$ , on which  $f$  is non-constant.*

*Proof.* We present the function field,  $F$ , of  $X$  as the quotient field of the ring

$$\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/I.$$

It is sufficient to treat the case of an affine variety, so we may suppose that the functions  $y_i$  are regular and embed  $X(\mathbb{R})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As  $f(x_0) \notin \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the field

$$F_{x_0} = \mathbb{Q}(y_1(x_0), \dots, y_n(x_0))$$

is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Choose  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in F$ , with  $f = f_1$ , such that  $\{f_i(x_0)\}$  forms a transcendence basis for  $F_{x_0}$ . Each  $y_i(x_0)$  satisfies an irreducible polynomial equation,  $P_i(y_i(x_0)) = 0$ , where

$$P_i \in \mathbb{Q}(f_1(x_0), \dots, f_m(x_0))[z] \subset \mathbb{R}[z].$$

As the  $P_i$  are irreducible, they have simple roots, it follows that the real roots of  $P_i$  are real, single-valued algebraic functions of the coefficients in some open neighborhood of  $P_i$  in real coefficient space. In particular, all the  $y_i$  are real-valued functions in some neighborhood,  $U$ , of  $u_0 = (f_1(x_0), \dots, f_m(x_0))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . These functions give a map  $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  carrying  $u_0$  to  $x_0 \in X(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since the coordinates of  $u_0$  are independent transcendental elements and

$\varphi$  is algebraic, the image of  $\varphi$  lies entirely in  $X(\mathbb{R})$ . The path  $\zeta(t) = \varphi(f_1(x_0) + t, \dots, f_m(x_0) + t)$  therefore has the required property.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is perfect,  $A$  is unramified at every finite place.*

*Proof.* From the construction,  $\Gamma$  is naturally represented in  $A^1$ , the group of elements of  $A$  of reduced norm 1, and therefore also in  $A_v^1$  for each place  $v$ . If  $A_v$  is a division algebra over a non-Archimedean local field  $k_v$ , the valuation on  $k_v$  extends uniquely to a discrete valuation on  $A_v$  (see [4]). Let  $\mathcal{P}_v$  be the set of elements of  $A_v$  of positive valuation, the maximal ideal in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$  of elements of non-negative valuation. Then  $A_v^1$  is contained in  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$  which has a Hausdorff filtration

$$\mathcal{O}_v^\times \supset (1 + \mathcal{P}_v)^\times \supset (1 + \mathcal{P}_v^2)^\times \supset \dots$$

with abelian quotients. It follows that the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $A_v^1$ , and hence the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $A$ , is the identity, contrary to hypothesis.

To summarize, we have associated with each representation  $\sigma$  a triple  $(k, T, \iota)$  consisting of a number field  $k$ , a trace-function  $T: \Gamma \rightarrow k$  and an embedding  $\iota: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . These have the property that the associated  $k$ -algebra  $A = A_T$  is a quaternion algebra, unramified at all finite places; and  $A \otimes_{k, \iota} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H}$ , which is to say that  $A$  is ramified at the real place  $\iota$ . The triple determines  $\sigma$  up to conjugacy, for  $\sigma$  is the composite of the representation  $\Gamma \rightarrow A_v^1$  and an isomorphism  $A_v^1 \cong SU(2)$ . If  $v: k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is now another embedding at which  $A$  is ramified, we obtain a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow A_v^1 \cong \mathbb{H}^1 = SU(2)$ , with trace  $T$ . This proves:

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The conjugacy classes of homomorphisms  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SU(2)$  with trace-field  $k$  and trace-function  $T$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the real places of  $k$  at which  $A_T$  ramifies.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.* If we classify the non-trivial representations  $\sigma$  according to their trace-fields and trace-functions, then by Proposition 3 the number of representations in the class corresponding to  $(k, T)$  is equal to the number of real places of ramification for  $A_T$ . When combined with Proposition 2, Hilbert's reciprocity law implies that the number of such places is even. So the number of non-trivial representations is even also.

Finally, it is natural to ask what becomes of Theorem 1 without the hypothesis that  $\Gamma$  is perfect. In general there is little one can say, but we do have the following result for groups whose derived series stabilizes:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-presented group whose derived series  $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma^{(2)} \supseteq \dots$  eventually stabilizes, and suppose there are only finitely many inequivalent representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $SU(2)$ . Then the parity of the number of such representations is determined by  $\Gamma/\Gamma^{(3)}$ .*

**LEMMA.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-presented group which admits only finitely many representations in  $SU(2)$ , of which  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SU(2)$  is one, then  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is either finite or dense in  $SU(2)$ .*

*Proof of Lemma.* The only proper closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$  are finite groups, the Cartan subgroup  $T = e^{2\pi i \mathbb{R}}$ , and its normalizer  $N(T) = T \cup \varepsilon T$  (where  $\varepsilon^2 = -1$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}t\varepsilon = t^{-1}$  for  $t \in T$ ). If the closure of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  were  $T$  then we would obtain infinitely many inequivalent representations by composing  $\rho$  with the  $n$ th-power homomorphism  $p(n): T \rightarrow T$ . If  $n$  is odd,  $p(n)$  extends to an endomorphism of  $N(T)$ , so the same argument rules out the possibility that  $N(T)$  is the closure of  $\rho(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose  $\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma^{(n+1)}$ , so that  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  is perfect, and let  $\Delta = \Gamma/\Gamma^{(n)}$  be the solvable quotient. We divide the representations of  $\Gamma$  into two classes: those whose kernel contains  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  (and whose image is therefore solvable), and the remainder. If  $\sigma$  belongs to the latter class then the associated field  $k$  and quaternion algebra  $A$  may be defined as before; indeed, the construction of  $A$  depends only on the image of  $\Gamma$  being non-abelian. Furthermore, under the same hypothesis on  $\sigma$ , the conclusion of Proposition 2 still holds: since the image of  $\Gamma$  contains a perfect subgroup, the same proof by contradiction applies. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 adapts readily to showing that the representations  $\sigma$  whose kernels do not contain  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  are even in number. It is therefore only necessary to consider the representations  $\rho$  in the other class—those which factor through  $\Delta$ . In considering these we can abandon our previous framework entirely and argue ad hoc. For any such  $\rho$ , the closure of  $\rho(\Delta)$  is, like  $\Delta$ , solvable and hence, by the Lemma, finite. The only solvable finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$  are the cyclic groups and the binary dihedral, tetrahedral and octahedral groups. The binary octahedral group,  $\mathbb{O}$ , has an outer automorphism of order 2 which is not obtained by conjugating by an element of  $SU(2)$ , so equivalence classes of homomorphisms  $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$  occur in pairs. If  $H$  is cyclic, binary dihedral, or binary tetrahedral,  $H^{(3)}$  is trivial, so homomorphisms  $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow H$  correspond to homomorphisms  $\Delta/\Delta^{(3)} \twoheadrightarrow H$ , and their number is determined by  $\Delta/\Delta^{(3)} = \Gamma/\Gamma^{(3)}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. AKBULUT and J. MCCARTHY: *Casson's invariant for oriented homology three-spheres*. Princeton University Press, to appear.
2. M. F. ATIYAH: New invariants of three and four dimensional manifolds. In *The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **48**, R. Wells, ed. American Mathematical Society, RI, (1988).
3. H. BASS: Groups of integral representation type. *Pacific J. Math.*, **86** (1980), 15–51.
4. M.-F. VIGNÉRAS: *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **800**, Springer-Verlag, (1980).
5. A. WEIL: *Basic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, (1974).

(†‡) *The Institute for Advanced Study*  
*Princeton, NJ, 08540*  
*U.S.A.*

(§) *University of Toronto,*  
*Toronto, Ontario*  
*M5S 1A1 Canada*